From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968)

Preface: The AI-Assisted Analytical Method

This collection of case studies represents a new methodology in historical research: the fusion of human theoretical direction with artificial intelligence's synthetic capacity.

These studies were produced through a collaborative process between **Thomas J. Bryan** (human researcher/author) and **Gemini** (Google's AI model). This partnership was not merely one of dictation and transcription, but of rigorous structural execution.

The Division of Labor:

- **Human Contribution:** The establishment of the "Asset vs. Benefit" theoretical framework, the definition of the prosecutorial narrative voice (Style B), the selection of historical targets, and the final analytical judgment.
- **AI Contribution:** The rapid synthesis of vast historical datasets, the rigorous application of the 8-part structural template, the identification of specific quantitative evidence (wealth statistics, casualty figures, legislative texts), and the drafting of the narrative text.

The Methodology:
Each study is built on the "Elite Capture Analysis Framework," a protocol designed to strip away the neutral tone of standard historiography. Instead of balancing competing narratives, these studies relentlessly track the movement of assets ("Stock") and the concession of temporary benefits ("Flow"). They function as forensic audits of political regimes, asking a single question: When the government changed, who kept the land?
This collaboration demonstrates that AI, when guided by a strong intellectual framework, can move beyond summarization to perform structural, critical analysis of power.

Thomas J. Bryan & Gemini
November 2025

From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968). 1
Preface: The AI-Assisted Analytical Method.....1
From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968). 4
Part 1 of 4: The Crisis of Image and the Management of Dissent.....4
Introduction: The Cold War Dilemma.....4
I. FOUNDATION: The Jim Crow Liability.....4
II. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: The "New Frontier" and the Containment of Radicalism.....5
From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968).....7
Part 2 of 4: The Birmingham Pivot and the March on Washington (1963).....7
Introduction: The Crisis of Containment.....7
III. BIRMINGHAM: The Spectacle that Forced the Hand.....7
IV. THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT: The Legal Capture.....8
V. THE MARCH ON WASHINGTON: The Managed Protest.....8
From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968).....10
Part 3 of 4: The Assassination and the Great Society - Reform as Counter-Insurgency (1963-1965).....10
Introduction: The Crisis of Authority.....10
VI. THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964: Breaking the Southern Stock.....10
VII. THE WAR ON POVERTY: Managed Pacification.....11
VIII. SELMA AND THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT (1965): The Final Franchise.....11
From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968).....13
Part 4 of 4: The Synthesis - From Caste to Class in the American Empire (1966-1968).....13
Introduction: The Limits of Reform and the Turn to Power.....13
IX. THE CHICAGO CAMPAIGN (1966): The Northern Wall.....13
X. THE POOR PEOPLE'S CAMPAIGN (1968): The Red Line.....13
XI. FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS: The New Frontier of Control.....14
XII. CONCLUSION: The Illusion of Victory.....14
Thematic Bibliography: From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968).....15
I. GENERAL WORKS ON THE 1960s AND ELITE POWER.....15
II. THE KENNEDY ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIL RIGHTS.....15
III. CORPORATE POWER AND ECONOMIC POLICY.....15
IV. THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT AND ECONOMIC JUSTICE.....16
V. THE GREAT SOCIETY AND CO-OPTATION.....16

VI. PRIMARY SOURCE COLLECTIONS & DOCUMENTS..... 16

From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968)

Part 1 of 4: The Crisis of Image and the Management of Dissent

Introduction: The Cold War Dilemma

In 1960, the American elite faced a unique geopolitical problem. They were engaged in a global struggle for "hearts and minds" against the Soviet Union, particularly in the decolonizing nations of Africa and Asia. Yet, the domestic reality of the United States—a racial caste system in the South enforced by state terror—was a propaganda disaster.

The election of John F. Kennedy was not a revolution; it was a rebranding. Kennedy represented a modern, technocratic elite that understood a crucial truth: to preserve American global hegemony (Stock), the U.S. had to concede formal legal equality to Black Americans (Flow). The goal was not to overturn the economic hierarchy, but to sanitize it. The Civil Rights Movement would force the elite's hand, but the elite would strive to ensure that the "revolution" remained legal and political, never economic.

I. FOUNDATION: The Jim Crow Liability

A. The Economic Obsolescence of Segregation

By 1960, the old agrarian order of the South was fading. Mechanization was replacing sharecropping. The Southern elite no longer needed a captive labor force tied to the land by debt and terror; they needed industrial development and foreign investment.

- **The Asset Shift:** The Southern "Stock" was shifting from cotton to capital. Segregation, with its constant threat of violence and instability, was becoming bad for business.
- **The Atlanta Model:** In cities like Atlanta, the business elite ("The City Too Busy to Hate") began to see desegregation as a necessary modernization strategy. They were willing to trade the "Flow" of racial separation for the "Stock" of economic growth.

B. The Cold War Imperative

The US State Department filed *amicus* briefs in civil rights cases, explicitly arguing that discrimination harmed US foreign policy.

- **The Logic:** "The United States cannot effectively battle for the minds of men in Asia and Africa if we treat our own citizens of color as inferiors."
- **The Motivation:** Civil rights reform became a national security priority. The elite

supported change not out of moral awakening, but out of geopolitical necessity.

C. The Rise of Independent Black Power

The elite's timetable for gradual reform was shattered by the emergence of a new, uncontrolled force: the student sit-in movement and the freedom rides.

- **The Disruption:** Organizations like SNCC (Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee) rejected the legalistic, gradualist approach of the NAACP. They took direct action.
- **The Threat:** This was not just a challenge to segregation laws; it was a challenge to the state's monopoly on order. When the "Flow" of protest disrupted the "Flow" of commerce (boycotts, sit-ins), the elite had to act.

II. NARRATIVE CONSTRUCTION: The "New Frontier" and the Containment of Radicalism

Kennedy's "New Frontier" was a masterpiece of narrative co-optation. It promised progress and vigor, channeling the restless energy of the 1960s into safe, state-directed avenues.

A. Rhetoric vs. Reality

- **The Promise:** Kennedy campaigned on a call to get the country "moving again." He made symbolic gestures, like his famous phone call to Coretta Scott King when MLK was jailed.
- **The Policy:** Once in office, Kennedy appointed segregationist judges to federal courts in the South to appease the Southern Democrats. He prioritized the "Stock" of the Democratic Party coalition over the "Flow" of justice for Black citizens.

B. Managing the "Freedom Rides" (1961)

When Freedom Riders were beaten and buses burned in Alabama, the Kennedy administration was furious—not at the Klan, but at the Riders.

- **The Elite View:** Attorney General Robert Kennedy viewed the Riders as provocateurs who were embarrassing the President before a summit with Khrushchev.
- **The Response:** The administration tried to get the rides called off. When that failed, they cut a deal with Mississippi Senator James Eastland: the federal government would not enforce desegregation of the buses if the state guaranteed no mob violence.
- **The Exchange:** The state police arrested the Riders peacefully. The "Stock" of order was preserved; the "Flow" of protest was jailed.

C. The "Steel Crisis" (1962): Disciplining Capital to Save Capitalism

Kennedy's confrontation with U.S. Steel reveals his role as the manager of the system. When steel companies raised prices, threatening inflation and economic stability, Kennedy publicly attacked them and threatened antitrust investigations.

- **The Meaning:** This was not an attack on capitalism; it was an attack on *undisciplined* capitalists who put short-term profit above the long-term stability of the system. Kennedy acted to protect the "Stock" of the national economy from the greed of a

specific faction.

Part 1 Conclusion:
By 1963, the Kennedy administration was attempting a delicate balancing act. It sought to manage the Civil Rights Movement, keeping it non-violent and focused on legal integration, while placating the Southern elite. But the "Flow" of popular energy was overflowing the banks of containment. Birmingham was about to explode, and the elite would soon be forced to choose between the "Stock" of segregation and the survival of the social order.
End of Part 1

From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968)

Part 2 of 4: The Birmingham Pivot and the March on Washington (1963)

Introduction: The Crisis of Containment

By the spring of 1963, the elite strategy of gradual, legalistic containment was failing. The "Flow" of protest was no longer a trickle; it was a flood. In Birmingham, Alabama, the confrontation between the Black community and the brutal police commissioner Eugene "Bull" Connor created a spectacle that the Kennedy administration could not ignore.

The elite response was a masterful pivot. Faced with the possibility of uncontrolled urban insurrection, they moved to capture the movement's energy. They shifted from opposing federal legislation to sponsoring it, and from discouraging the March on Washington to managing it. The goal was to ensure that the "Flow" of civil rights did not become a tidal wave that washed away the "Stock" of economic power.

III. BIRMINGHAM: The Spectacle that Forced the Hand

A. The Economic Boycott: Hitting the Stock

The Birmingham campaign was not just a moral protest; it was economic warfare.

- ***The Strategy:*** *Project C (Confrontation) targeted the downtown merchants during the Easter shopping season. The Black community withdrew its purchasing power (Flow).*
- ***The Elite Reaction:*** *The business elite of Birmingham, initially supportive of segregation, cracked. The loss of revenue (Stock) was more painful than the loss of racial privilege. They began to negotiate with King, bypassing the political leadership of Bull Connor.*

B. The Images of Repression

The televised images of police dogs attacking children and fire hoses flattening protestors were a PR catastrophe for the American elite.

- ***The Cold War Cost:*** *These images were broadcast worldwide. The Soviet Union used them to discredit American democracy in the Third World.*
- ***The Domestic Impact:*** *Northern white opinion shifted. The elite realized that the Southern "Bull Connor" model of control was now a liability to the national order. It had to be liquidated to save the system.*

IV. THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT: The Legal Capture

In June 1963, President Kennedy went on television to announce a comprehensive Civil Rights Bill. This is often framed as a moment of moral awakening. Structurally, it was a moment of elite consolidation.

A. The Deal

The bill offered the "Flow" of public accommodation: the right to eat at a lunch counter, stay in a hotel, and use a restroom.

- ***What it Granted:*** *Access to the marketplace. It integrated the consumer economy.*
- ***What it Avoided:*** *It did not address the "Stock" of wealth. It did not touch housing segregation in the North, job discrimination in the trades, or the redistribution of assets. It opened the door to the restaurant, but it did not guarantee the money to buy the meal.*

B. The Elite Consensus

Corporate America largely supported the bill.

- ***The Benefit:*** *A unified national market without messy local segregation laws was more efficient for business. Coca-Cola wanted to sell soda to everyone.*
- ***The Trade-off:*** *The elite accepted the end of de jure segregation (law) to preserve de facto segregation (economics).*

V. THE MARCH ON WASHINGTON: The Managed Protest

The March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom in August 1963 is remembered as the high point of the movement. In reality, it was a heavily managed event designed to channel radical energy into support for the administration's bill.

A. The Original Vision

A. Philip Randolph and Bayard Rustin originally envisioned a march that would challenge the economic structure of the nation.

- ***The Demand:*** *A massive federal jobs program, a higher minimum wage, and economic planning.*
- ***The Threat:*** *They threatened civil disobedience and a "lie-in" at the Capitol.*

B. The Kennedy Intervention

The administration terrified of violence and embarrassment, intervened to "sanitize" the march.

- ***The Conditions:*** *Kennedy endorsed the march only if it was peaceful, held on a weekday (to minimize crowds), and focused on the Civil Rights Bill rather than broader economic demands.*

- ***The Censorship:*** *The administration successfully pressured John Lewis of SNCC to rewrite his speech. The original draft threatened to "march through the South, through the heart of Dixie, the way Sherman did." The elite could not tolerate such a direct threat to the "Stock" of Southern order. Lewis was forced to tone it down.*

C. The Result: A Victory for Flow, A Defeat for Stock

The march was a triumph of narrative. King's "I Have a Dream" speech became the defining text of American liberalism.

- ***The Narrative:*** *It framed the struggle as a fulfillment of the American Dream (assimilation), not a challenge to the American System (revolution).*
- ***The Outcome:*** *The march solidified support for the Civil Rights Act (Flow) but sidelined the demands for economic restructuring (Stock). The movement had been successfully steered into the safe harbor of legislative reform.*

Part 2 Conclusion:

By the end of 1963, the elite had successfully managed the immediate crisis. They had isolated the violent segregationists of the South and co-opted the leadership of the Civil Rights Movement into a coalition for legal reform. But the assassination of Kennedy in November would shatter the illusion of control, and the rising anger in the Northern ghettos would soon prove that the "Flow" of legal rights was not enough to satisfy those locked out of the "Stock" of American prosperity.

End of Part 2

From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968)

Part 3 of 4: The Assassination and the Great Society - Reform as Counter-Insurgency (1963-1965)

Introduction: The Crisis of Authority

The assassination of John F. Kennedy in November 1963 created a momentary vacuum of authority. For the elite, the danger was palpable: a traumatized nation, a restless Black population, and a new President, Lyndon B. Johnson, who was a Southern wheeler-dealer distrusted by Northern liberals.

Johnson's response was one of the most sophisticated maneuvers in American political history. He did not retreat; he accelerated. He used the martyr's blood to consecrate the elite's agenda. The "Great Society" was not just a war on poverty; it was a war on disorder. It was a massive infusion of federal cash (Flow) designed to stabilize the urban centers and integrate the Black population into the consumer economy, without fundamentally altering the distribution of wealth (Stock).

VI. THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964: Breaking the Southern Stock

Johnson broke the Southern filibuster not by moral persuasion, but by raw political power. He called in every favor, twisted every arm, and used the full weight of the federal purse.

A. Title II and Title VII: The Marketplace and the Workplace

- ***Title II (Public Accommodations):*** *This destroyed the legal basis of the Southern caste system. It forced the "Stock" of Southern businesses to accept Black customers.*
- ***Title VII (Employment Discrimination):*** *This created the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC).*
    - ***The Function:*** *It promised fair access to jobs (Flow).*
    - ***The Limitation:*** *It did not guarantee jobs. It did not redistribute capital. It ensured that the competition for wages would be racially integrated, but the ownership of the means of production would remain untouched.*

B. The Elite Calculus

Why did the Northern corporate elite support this?

- ***Uniformity:*** *A national market required uniform rules. Segregation was an inefficient*

trade barrier.

- ***Stability:*** *The alternative to legal integration was escalating violence that threatened property. The Civil Rights Act was the price of social peace.*

VII. THE WAR ON POVERTY: Managed Pacification

The Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 launched the "War on Poverty." It was explicitly designed to bypass the radical demands of the movement and create a new, federally dependent leadership class in the ghettos.

A. Community Action Programs (CAP): Co-opting Dissent

- ***The Theory:*** *"Maximum feasible participation" of the poor.*
- ***The Reality:*** *Federal money flowed directly to local agencies, bypassing city halls. This created a new patronage machine. Radical organizers were hired as poverty program administrators. They were transformed from outsiders throwing rocks to insiders distributing grants. The "Flow" of federal dollars neutralized the threat to the "Stock" of local power.*

B. Job Corps and Head Start

These programs focused on "human capital"—fixing the poor so they could compete in the market—rather than fixing the market itself.

- ***The Logic:*** *Poverty was defined as a lack of skills (a defect of the worker), not a lack of assets (a defect of the system). The solution was training (Flow), not redistribution (Stock).*

VIII. SELMA AND THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT (1965): The Final Franchise

The Selma campaign in 1965 was the last great act of the classical Civil Rights Movement. Once again, elite violence (Bloody Sunday) forced federal intervention.

A. The Voting Rights Act: The Completion of Formal Democracy

The VRA suspended literacy tests and sent federal registrars into the South.

- ***The Result:*** *The political monopoly of the white Southern elite was broken. Black voters flooded the rolls.*
- ***The Containment:*** *By 1965, the elite had realized that Black votes could be managed. They could be absorbed into the Democratic Party machine. The vote (Flow) was conceded to preserve the system (Stock).*

Part 3 Conclusion:

By late 1965, the legal architecture of American apartheid had been dismantled. The elite had successfully managed the transition from caste to class. But just as the ink was drying on the Voting Rights Act, the Watts neighborhood in Los Angeles exploded. The movement was

moving North, and it was moving from a demand for rights to a demand for power. The elite's greatest challenge was not the Southern sheriff, but the angry urban proletarian who had the vote but no job.

End of Part 3

From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968)

Part 4 of 4: The Synthesis - From Caste to Class in the American Empire (1966-1968)

Introduction: The Limits of Reform and the Turn to Power

By 1966, the Civil Rights Movement had achieved its stated goals. The legal barriers of Jim Crow had fallen. The Voting Rights Act had secured the franchise. But the "Stock" of Black America—wealth, land, capital—remained negligible.

When Martin Luther King Jr. moved his campaign to Chicago in 1966, he collided with the Northern Democratic machine. He discovered that while the Northern elite supported the "Flow" of legal rights in the South, they would fight ruthlessly to protect the "Stock" of housing and real estate in the North. The movement's shift from "Civil Rights" to "Black Power" and "Poor People's Campaign" was a recognition that the legal phase was over, and the economic war had begun.

IX. THE CHICAGO CAMPAIGN (1966): The Northern Wall

King's Chicago Freedom Movement targeted housing segregation—the primary mechanism of Northern wealth accumulation.

- ***The Mechanism:*** *Redlining and restrictive covenants prevented Black families from acquiring home equity (Stock). They were confined to ghettos where they paid premium rents for substandard housing.*
- ***The Elite Response:*** *Mayor Richard J. Daley, a key ally of the Democratic administration, outmaneuvered King. He offered vague promises of "open housing" but delivered nothing.*
- ***The Violence:*** *White mobs in Gage Park threw bricks at marchers. This was not Southern sheriffs; this was the Northern white working class, protecting their own meager "Stock" (property values) against what they perceived as a threat from below.*

X. THE POOR PEOPLE'S CAMPAIGN (1968): The Red Line

In 1967, King began planning a "Poor People's Campaign."

- ***The Radical Shift:*** *He moved from demanding rights to demanding resources. He called for a "radical redistribution of economic and political power."*
- ***The Threat:*** *This terrified the elite. A multiracial coalition of the poor (Black, White, Latino, Native American) demanding economic justice threatened the entire structure*

of American capitalism.

- ***The Result:*** *King was assassinated in Memphis while supporting striking sanitation workers. The Poor People's Campaign was crushed. Resurrection City (the protest camp in DC) was bulldozed by the police.*

XI. FRAMEWORK ANALYSIS: The New Frontier of Control

Looking back at the 1960s through the Expanded CORE Framework, the pattern of elite adaptation is clear.

A. Flow vs. Stock

- ***Flow (Conceded):*** *The elite conceded legal equality, voting rights, and public accommodations. They allowed Black Americans into the "Flow" of the consumer economy and the labor market.*
- ***Stock (Preserved):*** *The elite successfully defended the distribution of wealth. The "Stock" of corporate power, land ownership, and financial capital remained concentrated in white hands. The demand for reparations or economic restructuring was marginalized.*

B. Elite Unity

The Northern corporate elite and the Southern business elite found a new consensus. They abandoned the crude racism of the Klan for the subtle racism of the market. They agreed on a system where "merit" and "property rights" would replace "white supremacy" as the justification for inequality.

C. The Management of Dissent

The War on Poverty and the incorporation of Black leaders into the Democratic Party machine demonstrated the elite's capacity to absorb opposition. By offering positions of influence (without real power) to movement leaders, the system neutralized the threat of revolution.

XII. CONCLUSION: The Illusion of Victory

The Civil Rights Movement destroyed the caste system of the South, but it did not destroy the class system of the America. It replaced a racial hierarchy enforced by law with a racial hierarchy enforced by economics.

The "New Frontier" was not a frontier of freedom; it was a frontier of management. The elite learned that they could survive democracy by controlling the definition of what was possible. They granted the vote to save the bank. They integrated the lunch counter to save the department store.

The revolution of 1963 was successful in changing the rules of the game, but it failed to change the winners.

Thematic Bibliography: From Caste to Class: How the American Elite Managed the Civil Rights Movement (1960-1968)

I. GENERAL WORKS ON THE 1960s AND ELITE POWER

- **Branch, Taylor.** *Parting the Waters: America in the King Years 1954-63* (1988) and *Pillar of Fire: America in the King Years 1963-65* (1998).
  - *Contribution:* Detailed narrative of the interaction between the movement and the Kennedy/Johnson administrations, highlighting the elite's constant attempts to manage and contain the protests.
- **Domhoff, G. William.** *Who Rules America?* (1967).
  - *Contribution:* Structural analysis of the corporate elite's dominance over policy-making, providing the theoretical basis for the "Stock" vs. "Flow" analysis of the period.
- **Matusow, Allen J.** *The Unraveling of America: A History of Liberalism in the 1960s* (1984).
  - *Contribution:* Critical examination of the Great Society and the War on Poverty as failed attempts to solve structural inequality through bureaucratic management.

II. THE KENNEDY ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIL RIGHTS

- **Brauer, Carl M.** *John F. Kennedy and the Second Reconstruction* (1977).
  - *Contribution:* Analysis of the administration's cautious, legalistic approach and its initial reluctance to support direct action.
- **Navasky, Victor.** *Kennedy Justice* (1971).
  - *Contribution:* Investigates the Justice Department's strategy of "federalism" as a cover for inaction in the South, prioritizing order over justice.
- **Dudziak, Mary L.** *Cold War Civil Rights: Race and the Image of American Democracy* (2000).
  - *Contribution:* Crucial source for the "Cold War Imperative" section, documenting how foreign policy concerns drove elite support for desegregation to counter Soviet propaganda.

III. CORPORATE POWER AND ECONOMIC POLICY

- **Collins, Robert M.** *The Business Response to Keynes, 1929-1964* (1981).
  - *Contribution:* Documents the corporate elite's acceptance of Keynesian management (Flow) to preserve capitalism (Stock), relevant to the 1962 Steel Crisis.
- **Minchin, Timothy J.** *Hiring the Black Worker: The Racial Integration of the Southern Textile Industry, 1960-1980* (1999).
  - *Contribution:* Analyzes the economic transition in the South and the role of the Civil

Rights Act in integrating the workforce.

IV. THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT AND ECONOMIC JUSTICE

- **Jackson, Thomas F.** *From Civil Rights to Human Rights: Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Struggle for Economic Justice* (2007).
  - *Contribution:* Essential for the "Chicago Campaign" and "Poor People's Campaign" sections, tracking King's radicalization and the elite's rejection of his economic demands.
- **Honey, Michael K.** *Going Down Jericho Road: The Memphis Strike, Martin Luther King's Last Campaign* (2007).
  - *Contribution:* Documents the final confrontation between the movement and the economic structure of the city, leading to King's assassination.
- **Sugrue, Thomas J.** *The Origins of the Urban Crisis: Race and Inequality in Postwar Detroit* (1996).
  - *Contribution:* Provides the structural analysis of housing segregation ("The Northern Wall") and deindustrialization that limited the gains of the Civil Rights Act in the North.

V. THE GREAT SOCIETY AND CO-OPTATION

- **Quadagno, Jill.** *The Color of Welfare: How Racism Undermined the War on Poverty* (1994).
  - *Contribution:* Analyzes how the War on Poverty was designed to manage dissent rather than redistribute wealth, and how it was dismantled when it threatened local power structures.
- **Piven, Frances Fox, and Cloward, Richard A.** *Regulating the Poor: The Functions of Public Welfare* (1971).
  - *Contribution:* Theoretical framework for understanding the Great Society as a mechanism of social control ("pacification") rather than charity.

VI. PRIMARY SOURCE COLLECTIONS & DOCUMENTS

- **Public Papers of the Presidents:** *John F. Kennedy* (1961-1963) and *Lyndon B. Johnson* (1963-1969).
- **The Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Text).**
- **The Voting Rights Act of 1965 (Text).**
- **The Kerner Commission Report** (1968). (Official recognition of white racism as the cause of urban disorder, without the political will to fix it).
- **Martin Luther King, Jr.** *Where Do We Go from Here: Chaos or Community?* (1967). (Primary source for King's economic radicalism).

End of Bibliography

End of Case Study

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*